Rev. Téc. Ing., Univ. Zulia Vol. 1, Nº 1, 1978, pp. 55-58

Impreso en Venezuela

Yudell L. Luke

Mathematics Department University of Missouri Kansas City, Missouri 64110 U.S.A.

Some Approximations and Inequalities for Arc Tan and Ln

(Recibido el 13 de Diciembre, 1977)

RESUMEN

La aplicación de la aproximación Padé a $G = 2F_1$ (1 α ; $T_1 - k(z)$) conduce a mejores aproximaciones y desigualdades para G y también para la función $F = 2F_1$ (1, α ; $C_1 - z$), las cuales son considerablemente mejores que aquellas que se obtienen directamente de F. Se ilustran los resultados para arc tan z y ln (1 + z).

SUMMARY

The application of the Padé approximations when applied to $G = {}_{Z}F_{1}$ $(1\alpha; \Gamma; -k(z))$ leads to powerful approximations and inequalities for G and so also for $F = {}_{Z}F_{1}$ $(1, \alpha; c; -z)$, which are considerably improved over those obtained directly from F. The results are illustrated for arc tan z and $\ln(1+z)$.

1. SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION

In previous works [1,2] we showed that the first subdiagonal and main diagonal Padé approximations for

 $F = {}_{2}F_{1}(1, \alpha, c, -z)$ give lower and upper bounds, respectively for F when z > 0 and suitable restrictions are placed on a and c. Now for certain values of a and c, there are available quadratic transformation formulas such that F is simpley

related to the form $G = {}_{2}F_{1}(1, \alpha; \gamma; -k(z))$ where k(z) is a function of z. The convergence properties of G are vastly

superior to those for F since $|k(z)| \le |z|$, z suitably restricted. Thus application of the Padé approximations noted above when applied to G leads to powerful approximations and inequalities for G and so also for F which are noticeably improved over those obtained directly from F. The results are illustrated for arc tan z and ln (1+z).

2. APRROXIMATIONS AND INEQUALITIES FOR

Consider

$$F(z) = {}_{2}F_{1}(1, \alpha; c; -z).$$
 (1)

Let

$$F(z) = \{A_n(z)/B_n(z)\} + U_n(z),$$
 (2)

where $\{A_n(z)/B_n(z)\}$ is the first subdiagonal Padé approximation for F(z) and $U_n(z)$ is the error. Again, let

$$F(z) = fG_n(z)/D_n(z) + V_n(z),$$
 (3)

where $\{ G_n(z) / D_n(z) \}$ is the main diagonal Padé approximation for F(z) and $V_n(z)$ is the error. Numerous details concerning the polynomials in these Padé approximations and the errors are detailed in my volumes [1, 2]. For the most part these data will not be repeated here. For our present purposes, we need only the inequality

$$\{A_n(z)/B_n(z)\} < F(z) < \{C_n(z)/D_n(z)\},$$

 $z > 0, c \ge 1, c > a > 0,$ (4)

with equality if z=0 or a=0 except that if z=0, exclude the left hand inequality unless n>0.

Other conditions for the validity of (4) as is or of (4) with inequality signs reversed are given in the sources cited.

In particular, we have

$$B_{O}(z) = C_{O}(z) = D_{O}(z) = 1, A_{O}(z) = 0,$$

$$A_1(z) = 1$$
, $B_1(z) = 1 + az/c$

$$A_2(z) = 1 + \left(\frac{2(a+1)}{c+2} - \frac{a}{c}\right)z,$$

$$B_2(z) = 1 + \frac{2(\alpha+1)z}{c+2} + \frac{(\alpha+1)\alpha z^2}{(c+2)(c+1)}$$

$$C_1(z) = 1 + \frac{(c-a)z}{c(c+1)}, D_1(z) = 1 + \frac{(a+1)z}{(c+1)},$$

$$C_{2}(z) = 1 + \left(\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{(c+3)} - \frac{\alpha}{c}\right)z + \left(\frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)} - \frac{2\alpha(\alpha+2)}{c(c+3)} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{c(c+1)}\right)z^{2}$$

$$D_2(z) = 1 + \frac{2(a+2)z}{(c+3)} + \frac{(a+2)(a+1)z^2}{(c+3)(c+2)}$$

and further approximants can be found after the manner in the cited references.

3. APPROXIMATIONS AND INEQUALITIES FOR ARC TANZ

We first derive a quadratic transformation formula for

orc ton z = z
$$F(\frac{1}{2}1; 3/2; -z^2)$$
. (6)

Consider [1, Vol. 1, p. 92, Eq. (1)] or [2, p. 270, Eq. (1)] with

z replaced by
$$-(\frac{W-1}{z})$$
 where $W = (1+z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (7)

Then

$$2^{F_{1}}(a,a+\frac{1}{2};c;-z^{2}) = (\frac{2}{1+W})^{2a}$$

$$F_{1}(2a,2a-c+1;c;\frac{1-W}{1+W})$$

$$= W^{-2a} F_{1}(2a,2c-2a-1;c;\frac{W-1}{2W})$$
(8)

in virtue of a Kummer transformation formula. Now put a $a = \frac{1}{2}$. The $a = \frac{1}{2}$ on the right can be expressed as 1 plus another $a = \frac{1}{2}$ to which we apply a Kummer transformation formula. We so obtain the desired form

$$z^{F_{1}(1,\frac{1}{2};c;-z^{2})} = \frac{1}{w} + \frac{(w-1)^{2}(c-1)}{z^{2}wc}$$

$$z^{F_{1}(1,2-c;c+1;-(\frac{w-1}{z})^{2})},$$

$$|arg(1+z^{2})| < \pi, z^{2} \neq -1, \qquad (9)$$

which with c=3/2 gives Z^{-1} arc tan z. Note that f(w-1)/z vanishes if $z\to 0$ and increases monotonically to 1 as $Z\to\infty$. The Padé approximations for the 2^F 1 on the right hand side of (9) lead to powerful approximations for the 2^F 1 on the left and these approximations are vastly superior to the corresponding Padé approximations for the 2^F 1 on the left hand side of (9). Application of the results in Section 2 to (9) with c=3/2 yields the following approximations and inequalities for arc tan z.

$$z^{-1} L_{n} \leqslant z^{-1} \operatorname{arc} \tan z \leqslant z^{-1} R_{n}, z \geqslant 0, \quad (10)$$

$$L_{1} = \frac{z}{w} + \frac{10v^{2}z}{3w(v^{2} + 5)},$$

$$R_{1} = \frac{z}{w} + \frac{2v^{2}z(8v^{2} + 35)}{3w(15v^{2} + 35)}, \quad (11)$$

$$v = \frac{w-1}{z} = \frac{z}{w+1}, \quad w = (1+z^{2})\frac{1}{2}, \quad (12)$$

$$L_{2} = \frac{z}{w} + \frac{14v^{2}z(7v^{2} + 15)}{15w(v^{4} + 14v^{2} + 21)},$$

$$R_{2} = \frac{z}{w} + \frac{2v^{2}z(64v^{4} + 819v^{2} + 1155)}{105w(5v^{4} + 30v^{2} + 33), \quad (13)$$

The inequalities become exact as $z \rightarrow 0$. If z = 1, we find

$$L_{1} = \frac{41(2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 25}{42} = 0.78530 \ 372 < \pi/4$$

$$= 0.78539 \ 81634$$

$$< R_{1} = \frac{811(2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 605}{690} = 0.78540 \ 174,$$

$$L_{2} = \frac{325(2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 224}{300} = 0.78539 \ 80259 < \pi/4$$

$$< R_{2} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{47460} = 0.78539 \ 81687.$$

$$= 14/9 = 1.55556 < \pi/2$$

$$= 1.57079 \ 633 < 118/75 = 1.57333,$$

$$L_{2} = 212/135 = 1.57037 \ 037 < \pi/2 < R_{2}$$

$$= \frac{2804}{1785} = 1.57086 \ 835.$$

4. APPROXIMATIONS AND INEQUALITIES FOR In (1+ z)

We first get a quadratic transformation formula for

$$ln(1+z) = z {}_{2}F_{1}(1,1;2;-z).$$
 (14)

Consider [1, Vol. 1, p. 93, Eq. (8)] or [2, p. 271, Eq. (8)] with a=1 and z replaced by -z/2. Apply a Kummer transformation formula to the 2^F 1 on the right hand side of the resulting equation, and so obtain

ting equation, and so obtain
$${}_{2}F_{1}(1,b;2;-z) = \frac{(z+2)}{(2z+2)\frac{1}{2}(b+1)}$$

$${}_{2}F_{1}(\frac{b+1}{2},\frac{3-b}{2};\frac{3}{2},\frac{z^{2}}{4(z+1)}),$$

$$|arg(1+z)| < \pi$$
, $|arg(z+2)|^2 < \pi$, (15)

and with b = 1, we have a formula for $z^{-1} ln(1 + z)$. Application of the results in Section 2 yields the following approximations and inequalities.

$$L_{n} \leq \ln(1+z) \leq R_{n}, z > 0, \qquad (16)$$

$$L_{1} = \frac{3z(z+2)}{2(z+1)(2y+3)}, R_{1} = \frac{z(z+2)(2y+15)}{6(z+1)(4y+5)}, \qquad (17)$$

$$y = z^{2}/4(z+1), \qquad (18)$$

$$L_{2} = \frac{5z(z+2)(10y+21)}{6(z+1)(8y^{2}+40y+35)}, \qquad (19)$$

$$R_{2} = \frac{z(z+2)(8y^{2}+210y+315)}{30(z+1)(8y^{2}+28y+21)}$$

These inequalities become exact as $z \rightarrow 0$.

In illustration, with z = 2, we have

$$L_1 = \frac{12}{11} = 1.09091 < \text{in } 3 = 1.09861 \ 2289 < R_1 = \frac{188}{171} = 1.09941$$

$$L_2 = \frac{1460}{1329} = 1.09857 \ 0354 < \text{in } 3 < R_2$$

$$= \frac{13892}{12645} = 1.09861 \ 6054.$$

We now get another quadratic transformation formula for the logarithm which is even more powerful than (15). Consider [1, Vol. 1, p. 93, Eq. (7)] or [2, p. 271, Eq. (7)] with z replaced by -z. Apply a Kummer transformation formula to the right hand side of this equation. Then

$$2^{F_1(a,b;2a;-z)=u} 2^{F_1}$$

 $(b,2a-b;a+\frac{1}{2};-\frac{(1-u)^2}{4u}),$
 $u=(1+z)^{\frac{1}{2}}, |arg(1+z)| < \pi,$
 $|arg(1+u)|^2/u| < \pi,$ (20)

and if a = b = 1, we have an expression for $z^{-1} \ln(1 + z)$ Application of the results in Section 2 yields the following approximations and inequalities.

$$L_{1} = \frac{6z}{u^{2} + 4u + 1}, R_{1} = \frac{z(u^{2} + 28u + 1)}{6u(u^{2} + 3u + 1)},$$

$$L_{2} = \frac{5z(5u^{2} + 32u + 5)}{3(u^{4} + 16u^{3} + 36u^{2} + 16u + 1)},$$

$$R_{2} = \frac{z(u^{4} + 10u^{3} + 426u^{4} + 101u + 1)}{15u(u^{4} + 10u^{3} + 20u^{2} + 10u + 1)}$$
(21)

These inequalities become exact as $z \rightarrow 0$.

In illustration, with z = 2, we get

$$L_1 = \frac{3(3^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1)}{2} = 1.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 6211 < 0.09807 62111 < 0.09807 62111 < 0.09807 62111 < 0.09807 62111 < 0.09807 62111 < 0.09807 62111 < 0.09807 62$$

$$< R_1 = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{99} = 1.09862 6168,$$

$$L_{2} = \frac{20(312(3)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 473)}{1227}$$

= 1.09861 2094 < In 3

$$< R_2 = \frac{4(1042(3)^{\frac{1}{2}}-1743)}{225} = 1.09861 2293$$

REFERENCES

- Luke, Y.L., The Special Functions and Their Approximations, Vol. 1,2, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- Luke, Y.L., Mathematical Functions and Their Approximations, Academic Press, New York, 1975.

Shafer [3] initiated the technique of quadratic approximation and in illustration shows that

$$\arctan x = \frac{8x}{3 + (25 + 80 \times ^2/3)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \epsilon(x), x > 0.$$

It can be proved that $\varepsilon'(x) > 0$ for x > 0 and since $\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for x = 0, (24) gives a left hand inequality for arc $\tan x$ when $x \ge 0$, $\varepsilon(x)$ increases monotonically for $0 \le x \le \infty$, and $\varepsilon(x) \to 1.5492$ as $x \to \infty$. For a comparable situation note that when $x \to \infty$, then from (11), $L_1 \to 1.5556$. Since the true value is $\pi/2$, we see that the left hand inequality (10) with n = 1 is superior.

 Shafer, R.E., "On quadratic approximation", SIAM J. Numer. Anal., No 11, 1974, pp. 447-460.